

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,426	07/24/2003	Edward B. Knudson	UV-34 Cont 4	2337
75563 ROPES & GR	7590 02/06/2008 AVIIP		EXAMINER	
PATENT DO	CKETING 39/361	and the second s	ONUAKU, CHI	RISTOPHER O
	E OF THE AMERICAS NY 10036-8704	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW TOICE,		•	2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Francisco for many be available under the provision of 37 CPt 1.1300, in no event, however, may a muly be timely filled. 1 if NO period for righy is appecified abover, the maximum statutory periods will apply and will apply 30% (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply which the size or centrode period for ingly will, by stables, cause the application become 8APADORE(S) 518 -52, 1335. Any righy periods by the OSC STATE 1.7400. Status 1) Sesponsive to communication(s) filled on 19 November 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-12 Is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-12 Is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 1-12 Is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 Is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) 1-12 Is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) 1-12 Is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) 1-12 Is/are allowed. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on 24 July 2003 Is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on 24 July 2003 Is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHIGHEVER IS LONGER. FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Base State 1 of Month's farm the manage date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 3 kMONTH (50 or the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 3 kM (50 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 3 kM (50 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 3 kM (50 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 3 kM (50 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 3 kM (50 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 3 kM (50 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Movember 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Expire 7 Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 5) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application in accordance with the provided accordance with the provid	Office Action Cummons	10/627,426	KNUDSON ET AL.			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estrembers of ten many be available under the provision of 30 FR 11380, in no revent, however, may a reply be timely filled in the communication of 30 FR 11380, in no revent, however, may a reply be timely filled in the communication of 30 FR 11380, in no revent, however, may a reply be timely filled in the communication of 30 FR 11380, in no revent, however, may a reply be timely filled in the communication. Fall to the provision of the input did above, the maximum slatutory period will apply and vid expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the malting date of this communication. Fall timely filled, may reduce any event of period for the communication of 30 FR 11380, and a polymorphy reply repeated by the Cliffic beer fals this test morths after the maximum slatutory, period will be set or section of the maximum slatutory period will be set or section of the maximum slatutory. Status 1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 19 November 2007. 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This action is non-final. 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ■ Claim(s) 1.12 Is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5b ■ Claim(s) 1.12 Is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5c ■ Claim(s) 1.12 Is/are rejected. 7c ■ Claim(s) 1.12 Is/are rejected. 7d ■ Claim(s) 1.12 Is/are rejected to by the Examiner. 10b ■ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10c ■ The provincy of the provincy document of the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 10c ■ The drawing(s) filed on 24 July 2003 Is/are: a) S accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. 10c ■ The drawing(s) filed on 24 July 2	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 OPt. 1.138(a). To coveral, however, may a religible to may be sent and the provisions of 37 OPt. 1.138(a). To coveral, however, may a religible to may be sent of the communication of 37 OPT. 1.138(a). To coveral, however, may a religible to may be sent of the communication. - Entire to reply while the set or contended period for reply will, by statistic, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. C. § 13.1). Alter the religible date of the communication, sent if timely filled may be determined patient term subjections. Because the communication of the communication of the communication of the communication of the communication. - Failuse to reply while the set or contended period for reply will, by statistic, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. C. § 13.1). Alter the religible date of the communication, send if timely filled may reduce any accordance to the communication. - Failuse to reply while the set or contended period for reply will, by statistic, cause the application of the communication of the communication. - Failuse to reply while the set or contended period for reply may are determined the major and the communication. - The set of the communication of allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. - Disposition of Claims - A) Claim(s) 1.12 is/are pending in the application. - A) Claim(s) 1.12 is/are rejected. - Application Papers - 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. - Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawi		<u> </u>				
2a] This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-12 (s/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * ○ None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(SATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (S6(a)). In no event, however, may a reply be timed will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONED date of this communication, even if timely filed	S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, I. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
3						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	,	,				
Al)	,—					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 24	Disposition of Claims					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 24 July 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ☐ . 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 24 July 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Application Papers					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1	10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 July 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 					
	Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/19/07.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate			

10/627,426 Art Unit: 2621

1.1

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Horlander et al (US 7,017,171).

Regarding claim 1, Horlander et al systems for communicating between multiple electronic devices, such as consumer electronic devices, via interconnections such as digital data buses, comprising:

- a) means for receiving television program guide information for use in the interactive television program guide, and means for selecting a program for recording from the interactive television program guide (see Fig.1, DSS 170 and the processing of the electronic program guide; col.9, line 64 to col.10, line 8; and col.2, lines 7-13);
- b) means for determining whether the selected program is copy protected (see Fig.1; DSS copyright protection processing; col.7, lines 43-50, and col.9, line 64 to col.10, line 25); and

10/627,426 Art Unit: 2621

c) means for displaying a message informing a user that the selected program may not be recorded upon determining that the selected is copy protected (see col.13, lines 40-48).

Regarding claim 2, the claimed limitations of claim 2 are accommodated in the discussions of claim 1 above.

Regarding claim 3, the claimed limitations of claim 2 are accommodated in the discussions of claim 1 above, including a video recorder (see VCR 100 of Fig.1 of Horlander).

Regarding claim 4, the claimed limitations of claim 4 are accommodated in the discussions of claim 1 above, the claimed machine-readable medium (see the play/record circuitry 101 of VCR 100 in Fig.1 of Horlander).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horlander et al in view of Wonfor et al (US 6,381,747).

10/627,426

٠.

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim 5, Horlander discloses the claimed limitations of claim 5, including providing the selected program without copy protection (see col31, lines 53-58 and col.32, lines 52-56) here if it is legal to copy the bit stream the source device instructs the VCR to turn on its DAV receiver and to go into the record more, and additionally DSS checks the copy protect mode of the video bit stream and determines if it is permissible to make a copy. If digital copies are permitted then the default device hails for the DAV bus.

Horlander fails to explicitly disclose means for displaying the interactive television program guide, and means for removing the copy protection from the selected program.

Wonfor et al teach a method of controlling copy protection in digital video networks where it is desired to copy protect an analog or digital video output signal associated with a digital video network, comprising displaying the interactive television program guide (see col.3, lines 8-10), and removing the copy protection from the selected program (see col.7, line 60 to col.8, line 8). Displaying the interactive television program guide provides the desirable advantage of allowing the user the time to preview the program guide to see what programs are available before selecting a desired program, and removing the copy protection from the selected program provides the desirable advantage of allowing the user to have access to the programs in order to copy desired programs.

It, therefore, would haven obvious to modify Horlander by realizing Horlander with the means for displaying the interactive television program guide, as taught by

10/627,426 Art Unit: 2621

Wonfor, since this provides the desirable advantage of allowing the user the time to preview the program guide to see what programs are available before selecting a desired program, and also would have been obvious to modify Horlander by realizing Horlander with the means for removing the copy protection from the selected program, as taught by Wonfor, since this provides the desirable advantage of allowing the user to have access to the programs in order to copy desired programs, for example.

Furthermore, Horlander fails to explicitly disclose means for offering the selected program for purchase at a price for the program without copy protection when the program is selected for recording, means for offering the selected program for purchase at a price for the program with copy protection when the program is selected for viewing, and means for providing the selected program with copy protection when the program is purchased at the price for the program with copy protection. However, this would have been an obvious engineering design consideration depending on the circuit at hand.

Regarding claim 6, Horlander et al and Wonfor et al fail to explicitly disclose wherein the price for the program without copy protection is more than the price for the program with copy protection. However, this would have been an obvious engineering design consideration depending on circuit at hand.

Regarding claim 7, the claimed limitations of claim 7 are accommodated in the discussions of claim 5 above.

10/627,426 Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim 8, the claimed limitations of claim 8 are accommodated in the discussions of claim 6 above.

Regarding claim 9, the claimed limitations of claim 9 are accommodated in the discussions of claim 5 above; except, wherein the control circuitry directs the tuner circuitry to tune to the selected program with copy protection (see Horlander et al, col.4, lines 44-50, and col.13, lines 13-23). Horlander and Wonfor fail to explicitly disclose wherein the control circuitry is configured to direct the display circuitry to display a message offering the user the selected program for purchase at a price for the program without/with copy protection when the program is selected for recording/viewing, respectively. However, this would have been an obvious engineering design consideration depending on the circuit at hand.

Regarding claim 10, the claimed limitations of claim 10 are accommodated in the discussions of claim 6 above.

Regarding claim 11, the claimed limitations of claim 11 are accommodated in the discussions of claim 5 above.

Regarding claim 12, the claimed limitations of claim 12 are accommodated in the discussions of claim 6 above.

Page 7

10/627,426 Art Unit: 2621

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Onuaku whose telephone number is 571-272-7379. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller can be reached on 571-272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov: Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

COO

1/23/08.

JOHN MILLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600